Role of juvenile traits for identification of some crop field dicotyledonous weeds in relation to their taxonomy and management

Ratul Mandal, Rahul Dey and Parasuram Kamilya*

Department of Botany, Taxonomy and Plant Systematics Laboratory, Bejoy Narayan Mahavidyala, Itachuna, Hooghly-712147, WB, India pkamilya.in@gmail.com

Available online at: www.isca.in, www.isca.me

Received 30th December 2021, revised 8th February 2022, accepted 16th March 2022

Abstract

Seedling morphological traits of 74 dicotyledonous weeds of 64 genera under 30 families of angiosperms are studied in the crop fields of two subdivisions i.e. Barrackpur and Barasat in the district of North 24-Parganas of West Bengal. Conservative juvenile traits are considered for artificial key formation which is helpful for identification of weeds before flowering and fruiting. It is crucial for weed management program. All 74 seedling species are categorized into six seedling types based on nature, phyllotaxy and stipule of first two leaves. The families are arranged according to Takhtajan's system of classification (1997). This study also promotes importance of seedling features in determining interrelationships among the taxa studied through PCA analysis. The seedling taxonomy is correlated with other botanical disciplines partly.

Keywords: Seedling morphology, artificial key, interdisciplinary approach, PCA analysis, Weed management.

Introduction

Weeds are one of the major significant threats to crop production with losses in crop yield and quality. Therefore, it brings a great economic impact for many farmers all over the world. Weeds compete with crops for nutrients, soil moisture, soil radiation and space; and reduce the yield and quality of produce¹. It is reported that weeds cause yields loss overall 34% in the major crop fields like rice, maize, potatoes, soybean and cotton². Most weeds germinate and established rapidly under favourable conditions. They also produce large no. of viable seeds even under environmental and soil conditions that are not favourable for the crop plants. Rapid and accurate weed identification at the seedling stage may be the first step towards a successful weed management program³ because most of the weeds are effectively controlled at a very young stage, so it is important to identify them as early as possible⁴.

Investigation of proper phenotypic traits of weed seedlings is the primary key for prominent weed identification leading towards suitable integrated weed management (IWM) program. In, Eastern India, it is the traditional method of farmers to kill mature existing weeds while already abundant mature viable seeds have been added to the soil⁵. These seeds may contain a hard seed coat and be resistant to different herbicides germinating in the next season. Therefore, before flowering and fruiting, the juvenile stage is the proper phase to prevent the manifestation of weeds. Hence, identification of weeds must be done at the seedling stage through appropriate keys constructed based on their constant conservative juvenile characters followed by some pioneer workers^{3,5,6}.

Survey of literatures reveals that there are some floristic works⁷⁻¹ on weeds in West Bengal as well as in the district North 24-Pargas. Even weed seedlings are studied by several workers ^{5,13,15}. But there is no work on seedlings in the crop field weeds in the district of North 24 Parganas, although this district possesses 2,39,000 hectares of vegetable crop fields from which major portion of vegetable crops Kolkata - a metropolitan city, gets. The two sub-divisions, Barrackpur and Barasat which are nearer to Kolkata, supply a vast amount of vegetables every day to Kolkata. Considering the weed manifestation problem, a strategy for the identification of weeds at the seedling stage to enforce the weed management programme of the above two blocks has been considered.

Materials and methods

74 crop field weed seedlings (Table-1) have been collected from different crop fields of Barrackpur-II (GPS coordinates of 22°46′2.7372" N and 88°23′18.0384" E) and Barasat-I (GPS coordinates 22°43′34.1616" N and 88°28′29.8560" E) of North 24 Parganas (latitude 22°11′6" N to 23°15′2" N and longitude from 88°20′ E to 89° E with an aerial extent of 4094 sq. km.) district in southern West Bengal, India, throughout the year of 2020. Soil type varies from alluvial to clay loam as it falls within the Gangetic delta.

Collections have been made through repeated field visits and field photographs have been taken for documentation. All recorded specimens were examined and identified using standard literatures ¹⁴⁻²⁹. The mature seeds were also collected from the same crop fields and grown in the experimental garden of Bejoy Narayan Mahavidyalaya for identity confirmation.

Seedlings collected from crop fields as well as from experimental gardens have been documented in the form of herbarium sheets which are deposited in the herbarium section of the Department of Botany of the college. Mature weed plants were identified by various literatures 30-36. At least 10 specimens were studied for each species of seedling from different crop fields. A list of taxa studied with the author's citation and photoplate figures have also been given (Table-1). The studied taxa are arranged according to Takhtajan's system of classification-1997 (Table-1). An artificial key has been constructed for the identification of taxa at the juvenile stage, where 74 species are initially grouped under six types (Type-I to Type-VI) 38. During the preparation of key for family and genera having a single species are kept in the parenthesis. Under each type, keys are made up of species-level identification.

For statistical analysis, all morphological characters are used where qualitative characters are being converted to numerical form. All the statistical tests are performed using Minitab 19 and SPSS ver. 20.0. The morphometric analysis is run through Principal Component Analysis (PCA) using the Varimax method to visualize the relationship among studied taxa and to select interrelated variables 13,39.

Results and discussion

All the studied taxa showed phenerocotylar type of seedlings. The distinctiveness of the juvenile traits helps to distinguish them on the natural habitats. These are taxonomically delimited at different ranks through an artificial key.




Figure-1: Study area.

Table-1: List of taxa studied with author's citation and photo plate figures.

Family	Sl. No.	Species	Plt. No.
Piperaceae	1	Peperomia pellucida (L.) Kunth	[Figure-4, figA]
Ranunculaceae	2	Ranunculus sceleratus L.	[Figure-4, figB]
Fumaraceae	3	Fumaria indica (Hausskn.) Pugsley	[Figure-4, figC]
Nyctaginaceae	4	Boerhavia diffusa L.	[Figure-4, figD]
Aizoaceae	5	Trianthema portulacastrum L.	[Figure-4, figE]
Portulacaceae	6	Portulacca oleracea L.	[Figure-4, figF]
Molluginaceae	7	Glinus oppositifolius (L.) Aug.DC.	[Figure-4, figG]
Caryophylaceae	8	Stellaria media (L.) Vill.	[Figure-4, figH]
	9	Amaranthus viridis L.	[Figure-4, figI]

Amaranthaceae	10	Amaranthus tenuifolius Willd.	[Figure-4, figJ]
	11	Alternanthera sessilis (L.) R.Br. ex DC.	[Figure-4, figK]
	12	Achyranthes aspera L.	[Figure-4, figL]
	13	Chenopodium album L.	[Figure-4, figM]
Chenopodiaceae	14	Dysphania ambrosioides (L.) Mosyakin & Clemants	[Figure-4, figN]
	15	Rumex dentatus L.	[Figure-4, figO]
Polygonaceae	16	Persiceria hydropiper (L.) Delarbre	[Figure-4, figP]
70	17	Polygonum plebeium R.Br.	[Figure-4, figQ]
Primulaceae	18	Anagallis arvensis L.	[Figure-4, figR]
	19	Mukia scabrella (L. f.) Arn.	[Figure-4, figS]
Cucurbitaceae	20	Trichoxanthes cucumarina L.	[Figure-5, figA]
	21	Coccinia grandis (L.) Voigt	[Figure-5, figB]
Commence	22	Cleome rutidosperma DC.	[Figure-5, figC]
Capparaceae	23	Cleome viscosa L.	[Figure-5, figD]
ъ :	24	Cardamine flexuosa With.	[Figure-5, figE]
Brassicaceae	25	Rorippa palustris (L.) Besser	[Figure-5, figF]
	26	Malvastrum coromandelianum (L.) Garcke	[Figure-5, figG]
	27	Malachra capitata (L.) L.	[Figure-5, figH]
	28	Urena lobata L.	[Figure-5, figI]
Malvaceae	29	Sida cordata (Burm.f.) Borss.Waalk.	[Figure-5, figJ]
	30	Sida rhombifolia L.	[Figure-5, figK]
	31	Corchorus aestuans L.	[Figure-5, figL]
Cannabaceae 32		Cannabis sativa L.	[Figure-5, figM]
	33	Pilea microphylla (L.) Liebm.	[Figure-5, figN]
Urticaceae	34	Pouzolzia zeylanica (L.) Benn.	[Figure-5, figO]
	35	Euphorbia hirta L.	[Figure-5, figP]
	36	Euphorbia serpens Kunth	[Figure-5, figQ]
E alca!	37	Phyllanthus urinaria L.	[Figure-5, figR]
Euphorbiaceae	38	Phyllanthus fraternus G.L.Webster	[Figure-5, figS]

	39	Croton bonplandianus Baill.	[Figure-6, figA]
	40	Micrococca mercurialis (L.) Benth.	[Figure-6, figB]
	41	Chrozophora plicata (Vahl) A. Juss. ex Spreng.	[Figure-6, figC]
	42	Acalypha indica L.	[Figure-6, figD]
	43	Senna tora (L.) Roxb.	[Figure-6, figE]
	44	Senna sophera (L.) Roxb.	[Figure-6, figF]
	45	Senna occidentalis (L.) Link	[Figure-6, figG]
Fabaceae	46	Desmodium triflorum (L.) DC.	[Figure-6, figH]
	47	Desmodium scorpiurus (Sw.) Desv.	[Figure-6, figI]
Oxalidaceae	48	Oxalis corniculata L.	[Figure-6, figJ]
Zygophyllaceae	49	Kallstroemia pubescens (G.Don) Dandy	[Figure-6, figK]
	50	Parthenium hysterophorus L.	[Figure-6, figL]
	51	Eclipta prostrata (L.) L.	[Figure-6, figM]
	52	Xanthium strumarium L.	[Figure-6, figN]
	53	Ageratum conyzoides (L.) L.	[Figure-6, figO]
Asteraceae	54	Tridax procumbens (L.) L.	[Figure-6, figP]
	55	Synedrella nodiflora (L.) Gaertn.	[Figure-6, figQ]
	56	Blumea lacera (Burm.f.) DC.	[Figure-6, figR]
D 1:	57	Spermacoce hispida L.	[Figure-7, figA]
Rubiaceae	58	Oldenlandia corymbosa L.	[Figure-7, figB]
	59	Solanum americanum Mill.	[Figure-7, figC]
	60	Nicotiana plumbaginifolia Viv.	[Figure-7, figD]
Solanaceae	61	Physalis minima L.	[Figure-7, figE]
	62	Solanum sisymbriifolium Lam.	[Figure-7, figF]
C1 1	63	Evolvulus nummularius (L.) L.	[Figure-7, figG]
Convolvulaceae	64	Ipomoea eriocarpa R. Br.	[Figure-7, figH]
Boraginaceae	65	Heliotropium indicum L.	[Figure-7, figI]
	66	Scoparia dulcis L.	[Figure-7, figJ]
Scorphulaceae	67	Mazus pumilus (Burm.f.) Steenis	[Figure-7, figK]
		L	1

	68	Mecardonia procumbens (Mill.) Small	[Figure-7, figL]
	69	Lindenbergia indica Vatke	[Figure-7, figM]
	70	Lindernia viscosa (Hornem.) Merr.	[Figure-7, figN]
Acanthaceae	71	Ruellia tuberosa L.	[Figure-7, figO]
	72	Ruellia prostrata Poir.	[Figure-7, figP]
Lamiaceae	73	Leucas aspera (Willd.) Link	[Figure-7, figQ]
	74	Hyptis suaveolens (L.) Poit.	[Figure-7, figR]

	atic enumeration: al Key (Key is valid for the taxa studied only)
Kev to	the seedling types
1a.	First two leaves simple
1b.	First two leaves compound5
2a.	First two leaves sub-opposite to alternate3
2b.	First two leaves opposite
3a.	First two leaves stipulateType-I
3b.	First two leaves exstipulateType-II
4a.	First two leaves stipulateType-III
4b.	First two leaves exstipulateType-IV
5a.	First two leaves sub-opposite to alternateType-V
5b.	First two leaves oppositeType-VI
Type-I	
Key to	the families
1a.	Primary vein of paracotyledons one, venation
	hyphodromous; margin of first two leaves entire,
	primary vein one, venation camtodromous or
	hyphodromous2
1b.	Primary vein of paracotyledons three, venation
	actinodromous; margin of first two leaves serrate,
	dentate or crenulate, primary veins 3-7, venation
2	actinodromous or acrodromousMalvaceae
2a.	Hypocotyl oblate; paracotyledons thick;
	paracotyledons and subsequent leaves with prominent
	purple borderAizoiaceae
21.	(Trianthema portulacastrum)
2b.	Hypocotyl terete; paracotyledons thin; paracotyledons
2 -	and subsequent leaves with normal green border3
3a.	Seedling with watery latex; stipule free
3b.	lateralEuphorbiaceae Seedling without watery latex; stipule
30.	ochreate
	ocineaterorygonaceae
Kay to	the genus/ species
Malvac	
la.	Paracotyledons ovate to widely ovate2
1a. 1b.	Paracotyledons elliptic to widely elliptic
2a.	First two leaves with dentate margin

2b.	First two leaves with serrate to crenate margin3
3a.	Venation of paracotyledons acrodromous; first two
	leaves orbicular, margin crenate, base reniform, apex
	mucronulateMalvastrum (M.coromandelianum)
3b.	Venation of paracotyledon actinodromous; first two
	leaves elliptic to shallowly trilobed, margin irregularly
	serrate, base cordate, apex obtuse <i>Urena</i> (<i>U. lobata</i>)
4a.	Base of paracotyledons obtuse, venation acrodromous;
	first two leaves ovate, base reniform, apex acute to
	obtuse, primary veins threeCorchorus (C.aestuans)
4b.	Base of paracotyledons subtruncate to truncate,
	venation actinodromous; first two leaves orbicular,
	base cordate, apex subrounded to rounded, primary
	veins 5-7
Key to	the species
Genus:	Sida
1a.	Base of paracotyledons subrounded, venation
	actinodromous; first two leaves with pilose hairs, blade
	broadly ovate, base cordate, apex acute, primary veins
	5-7S. cordata
1b.	Base of paracotyledons shallowly cordate, venation
	acrodromous; first two leaves with stellate hairs, blade
	rhombic to obovate, base cuneate, apex obtuse, primary
	veins threeS. rhombifolia

Key to the species

Genus: Phyllanthus

Hypocotyl purple to purplish green, sparsely pubescent; paracotyledons sessile; eophylls papery, apex mucronulate; internode suppressed (0.5-1.5 mm), branching appeared after 6-12 leaf stagesP. urinaria

Hypocotyl green, glabrous; paracotyledons petiolate; 1b. eophylls herbaceous, apex rounded; internode 5-12 branching appeared after 5th mm; leaf

Polygonaceae

Key to the species

Res. J. Agriculture and Forestry Sci.

1a.	Paracotyledons sessile, oblance-falcate; first two leaves	13a. First internode angularBoraginaceae
ıa.	linear-oblanceolatePolygonum (P. plebeium)	(Heliotropium indicum)
1b.	Paracotyledons petiolate, ovate to ovate-elliptic; first two leaves ovate to elliptic- oblong	13b. First internode terete
2a.	First two leaves ovate, base sub-truncate, apex obtuse; subsequent leaves with toothed base and undulate	Key to the genus/ species Amaranthaceae
	margin	Key to the species
2b.	First two leaves lanceolate, base alternate, apex acute;	Genus: Amaranthus
	subsequent leaves entire margin	1a. Paracotyledons linear; first two leaves oblance- lanceolate, base attenuate; internode
	nyuropiper	quadrangular
Type II		1b. Paracotyledons lanceolate; first two leaves ovate, base
•	the families	obtuse; internode penta to hexangularAmaranthus
1a.	First two leaves glabrous	viridis
1b. 2a.	First two leaves hairy	Brassicaceae
2a. 2b.	First two leaves with single primary vein	Key to the species
20. 3a.	Apex of first two leaves obtuse to rounded; venation	1a. Base of paracotyledons obtuse, apex retuse; first two
	hyphodromous; internode rounded4	leaves shallowly trilobed, base minutely reniform;
3b.	Apex of first two leaves emarginate, venation	venation actinodromous
	camptodromous; internode angularAmaranthaceae	1b. Base of paracotyledons cuneate, apex emargnate; first
4a.	Paracotyledons lanceolate, base cuneate, apex obtuse;	two leaves elliptic to rectangular; venation
	first two leaves obovate, base cuneate; internode	camptodromousRorripa palustris
1 h	glabrousPortulacaceae (<i>Portulaca oleracea</i>)	Euphorbiaceae
4b.	Paracotyledons ovate, base sub-truncate, apex acute; first two leaves elliptic, base obtuse; internode	Key to the species
	puberulousMolluginaceae (Glinus oppositifolius)	1a. Hypocotyl reddish-orange; paracotyledons coriaceous,
5a.	Hypocotyl light green to green, terete; paracotyledons	blade elliptic-oblong, apex rounded, primary vein one,
	thin, herbaceous; eophylls radical	venation hyphodromous; apex of first two leaves
5b.	Hypocotyl translucent, angular; paracotyledons thick,	acute
	fleshy; eophylls caulinePiperaceae	1b. Hypocotyl purplish; paracotyledons herbaceous, blade
	(Peperomia pellucida)	narrowly oblong, apex obtuse, primary veins three,
6a.	Paracotyledons widely elliptic to elliptic, apex retuse to	venation acrodromous; apex of first two leaves
6b.	emarginate; subsequent leaves lyrateBrassicaceae	obtuse
ob.	Paracotyledons lanceolate, apex acute; subsequent leaves deeply trilobedRanunculaceae	Convolvulaceae
	(Ranunculus sceleratus)	Key to the species
7a.	Seedling with latexEuphorbiaceae	1a. Hypocotyl fistulose; paracotyledons bilobed, each lobe
7b.	Seedling without latex8	apex obtuse, base cordate, primary veins five, venation
8a.	Hypocotyl hollow (exception Evolvulus	actinodromous; first two leaves cordate, base cordate,
	nummularius)9	primary veins five, venation campylodromous; first
8b.	Hypocotyl solid	internode glabrous
9a. 9b.	Paracotyledons thin, herbaceousConvolvulaceae	1b. Hypocotyl terete; paracotyledons oblong, apex retuse, base obtuse, primary vein one, venation
90. 10a.	Paracotyledons thick, fleshyCucurbitaceae Paracotyledons sessile, leaf base sheathing; first two	base obtuse, primary vein one, venation camptodromous; first two leaves elliptic, base
10a.	leaves with base subsessile, sheathing; subsequent	subrounded, primary vein one, venation
	leaves compoundFumariaceae (Fumaria indica)	camptodromous; first internode hairy
10b.	Paracotyledons petiolate, leaf base simple; first two	Evolvulus nummularius
	leaves petiolate, not sheathing; subsequent leaves	
	simple11	Cucurbitaceae
11a.	Subsequent leaves with base asymmetrical or oblique	Key to the species
1 11	(exceptions <i>Nicotiana plumbaginifolia</i>)Solanaceae	1a. Paracotyledons sessile, venation hyphodromous; first
11b.	Subsequent leaves with base symmetrical12 Texture of first two leaves	two leaves palinactinodromous
12a.	Texture of first two leaves coriaceous	cucumerina 1b. Paracotyledons petiolate, venation brochiododromous,
12b.	Texture of first two leaves herbaceous	first two leaves actinodromous2

2a.	Hypocoty	yl glabrous;	apex of	first two lea	aves retuse,
	margin	regularly	dentate;	internode	pubescent
				Coccia	nia grandis

2b. Hypocotyl hairy; apex first two leaves obtuse, margin irregularly dentate; internode hispid ... Mukia scabrella

Solanaceae

Key to the genera

- 1a. Hypocotyl highly suppressed; eophylls rossulate; base of first two leaves cuneate......*Nicotiana plumbaginifolia*

- 2b. Paracotyledons ovate, apex obtuse... Physallis minima

Key to the species

Genus: Solanum

Chenopodiaceae

Key to the species

Asteraceae

Key to the species

Type-III

Key to the families

- 1b. Paracotyledons glabrous, base cuneate to obtuse; first two leaves with stipule interpeotiolar, blade lanceolate, apex acute; internode angular......Rubiaceae.

Rubiaceae

Key to the species

Type- IV

Key to the families

- 1a. First two leaves and subsequent leaves sessile......Primulaceae (Anagalis arvensis)
- 2a.
 Seedling with latex
 Euphorbiaceae

 2b.
 Seedling without latex
 3

- 8a. Venation of first two leaves craspedodromous; subsequent leaves compound........Cannabinaceae (Cannabis sativa)
- 8b. First two leaves with venation acrodromous to camptodromous; subsequent leaves simple......9
- 9a. First internode angular (exception-*Mazus pumilus*)...10 9b. First internode rounded to oval.......Asteraceae

Key to the genus/species

Euphorbiaceae

Key to the species

Genus: Euphorbia

Acanthaceae

Genus: *Ruellia*Key to the species

Urticaceae

Key to species

Amaranthaceae

Key to species

1a. Hypocotyl soft; paracotyledons ovate, apex obtuse to sub-rounded; first two leaves fleshy, sparsely villous, blade elliptic, base attenuate.....Alternanthera sessilis

Asteraceae

Key to the species

- 1a. First two leaves with primary veins three, venation acrodromous; first internode purplish-green..........2

Lamiaceae

Key to the species

- 1a. Hypocotyl light-green; paracotyledons elliptic-oblong, venation hypodromus; first two leaves with base cuneate, apex obtuse, tomentose hair...*Leucas aspera*

Scrophulariaceae

Key to the species

Type-V

Key to the families

- 1b. First two leaves and subsequent leaves with leaflets always trifoliolate......Oxalidaceae (Oxalis corniculata)
- 2a. Hypocotyl semihard; paracotyledons sub-sessile, fleshy thick, glabrous, venation actinodromous.....Fabaceae
- 2b. Hypocotyl soft; paracotyledons petiolate, herbaceous, pubescent, venation acrodromous......Zygophyllaceae (*Kallstroemia pubescens*)

Key to the genera/species

Fabaceae

Key to the species

Genus: Senna

Type-VI

Key to the families

- 1b. Paracotyledons subsessile, apex rounded or retuse; first two leaves unifoliate, stipulate......Fabaceae (Desmodium scorpiurus)

Key to the genera/species

Capparaceae

Key to the species

- 1a. Hypocotyl purple; paracotyledons with base cuneate; subsequent leaves trifoliolate.....*Cleome rutidospermum*

Using morphological parameters of seedlings, 74 species of dicotyledonous weeds belonging to 64 genera under 30 families have been studied in crop fields throughout the year 2020. Under the artificial key, the studied taxa are categorized into six seedling types based on nature, phyllotaxy and stipule of the first two leaves of seedlings. Since we have studied a limited number of taxa in the fields under the families using only juvenile characters, so some of the families have shown their placement of more than one seedling types i.e., Euphorbiaceae in type I, type II and type IV; Amaranthaceae in type II and type IV; Asteraceae in type II and type IV; and Fabaceae in type III, type V and type VI. For many families, only one species have been studied i.e. Aizoiaceae (Trianthema portulacastrum), Portulacaceae (Portulaca oleracea), Molluginaceae (Glinus pellucida), oppositifolius), Piperaceae (Peperomia Ranunculaceae (Ranunculus sceleratus), Boraginaceae (Heliotropium indicum), Primulaceae (Anagallis arvensis), Cannabinaceae (Cannabis sativa), Oxalidaceae (Oxalis corniculata), Zygophyllaceae (Kallstroemia pubescens) and Fumariaceae (Fumaria indica).

The classification of seedling is totally based on conservative juvenile traits and shows some homology with Takhtajan's classification (1997) system. Polygonaceae has been isolated and placed in type I while other families like Amaranthaceae. Portulacaceae, Molluginaceae, Chenopodiaceae Nyctaginaceae of Takhtajan's Caryophyllidae are placed in seedling types II and IV, except Aizoaceae which is placed within the seedling type I where Polygonaceae present. Thus the majority of studied families of Caryophyllidae are placed in type II and IV separating Polygonaceae. This partially supports the concept of Takhtajan. Taxa having compound leaves are placed in seedling types V and VI on the phyllotaxy of the first two leaves. Here type V possesses Oxalidaceae, Fabaceae and Zygophyllaceae and type VI contains Capparaceae and Fabaceae. Thus, Fabaceae is an exception. Fabaceae, Zygophyllaceae and Oxalidaceae are placed in subclass Rosidae and Capparaceae is in subclass Dilleniidae of Takhtajan. Seedling characters separate Capparaceae into seedling type V where only the Desmodium gangeticum of Fabaceae also belongs. Therefore, partial evidence homology is evident to Takhtajan (1997).

Juvenile characters have also supported a few other botanical disciplines such as pollen morphology, molecular biology, anatomy etc. The phylogeny of six taxa of Amaranthaceae using RAPD and showed that *Achyranthes* and *Alternanthera* tend to stay together while *Amaranthus* is separated out from them⁴⁰. In seedling traits, the former two taxa belong to type IV while later taxon has been accommodated in type II supporting the molecular phylogeny. A study on the pollen grains of a few *Chenopodium* spp. showed that *C. album* and *C. ambrosioides* (*Dysphania ambrosioides* at present) share similar radial symmetrical, isopolar, pantopolyporate spheroidal pollen grains with scabrate ornamentations⁴¹. In seedling characters, although they are placed in the same type II, but are separated from each

other by the characters of paracotyledons and first two internodes. Thus, partial disfavouring of pollen characters is evident here. In the seedling characters, *Xanthium strumarium* and *Ageratum conyzoides* are placed in type II and IV respectively. Anatomically *Xanthium* displays anisocytic stomata and non-glandular unicellular trichomes separating the *Ageratum conyzoides* by its anomocytic stomata and non-glandular unicellular hairs⁴². This is an evidence of a positive correlation.

Among 74 investigated taxa, 20 showed heteroblastic development (Table -2). There are 29 seedling taxa which are of invasive alien species. Some of them are Ageratum conyzoides (Trop. America), Cleome rutidosperma (Trop. America), Ipomoea eriocarpa (Trop. Africa), Oxalis corniculata (Europe), Peperomia pellucida (Trop. South America), Synedrella nodiflora (West Indies), Malvastrum coromandelianum (Trop. America) etc.

PCA analysis: The score plot of PCA distributed the 30 families with their quantitative and qualitative seedlings traits where most of the families are distributed lower part and upper right-hand plots of four sections indicating their partial affinities (Figure-2).

Seedling phenotypic traits are consistently distributed in among PCA 1 and PCA 2. Two major groups indicated as two circles have emerged from PCA analysis. The smaller group at right above side contains only qualitative traits indicating their interdependency; whereas the larger group has both qualitative and quantitative traits indicating their dependency. It also depicts major numbers of both traits with significant interrelationship. Only two quantitative traits i.e., paracotyledon size and paracotyledon petiole length, remain outside from these groups. These traits show less importance for taxonomic correlation and might not be used for artificial key construction.

Table-2: Heteroblastic development of eophylls (phyllotaxy, nature, margin, shape and leaflets pair).

Sl. No.	Name of the taxa	Family	Heteroblastic development
1	Acalypha indica	Euphorbiaceae	Phyllotaxy opposite to alternate
2	Cannabis sativa	Cannabinaceae	Leaves simple to compound
3	Cardamine flexuosa	Brassicaceae	Leaves simple to compound
4	Chenopodium album	Chenopodiaceae	Leaf margin entire to notch
5	Desmodium triflorum	Fabaceae	Leaves unifoliate to trifoliate
6	Desmodium scorpions	Fabaceae	Leaves unifoliate to trifoliate
7	Dysphania ambrosioides	Chenopodiaceae	Leaf margin entire to irregularly serrulate
8	Fumaria indica	Fumaraceae	Leaves linear to pinnatisect
9	Kallstroemia pubescens	Zygophyllaceae	Leaflets two pairs to three-eight pairs
10	Malvastrum coromandelianum	Malvaceae	Leaf blade orbicular to ovate
11	Parthenium hysterophorus	Asteraceae	Leaves simple to pinnatily lobed
12	Phyllanthus urinaria	Euphorbiaceae	Phyllotaxy whorled to alternate
13	Ranunculus sceleratus	Ranunculaceae	Trilobed to multinotched trilobed
14	Rorippa palustris	Brassicaceae	Leaf margin entire to double serrate
15	Rumex dentatus	Polygonaceae	Leaf margin entire to weavy
16	Senna occidentalis	Fabaceae	Leaflets two pairs to three-five pairs
17	Senna sophera	Fabaceae	Leaflets two pairs to four-ten pairs
18	Senna tora	Fabaceae	Leaflets two pairs to three pairs
19	Solanum sisymbriifolium	Solanaceae	Leaf blade simple to pinnatisect
20	Tridax procumbens	Asteraceae	Leaf margin entire to serrate




Figure-2: Score plot of Principal Component Analysis based on 30 quantitative and qualitative seedling morphological traits showing a relationship among 74 weed species from 30 families. Species are denoted with numbers (same as the table-I) and grouped by colored symbols. Each alphabet denote different families like - A=Piperaceae, B= Ranunculaceae, C= Fumaraceae, D= Nyctaginaceae, E= Aizoaceae, F= Portulacaceae, G= Molluginaceae, H= Caryophyllaceae, I= Amaranthaceae, J= Chenopodiaceae, K= Polygonaceae, L= Primulaceae, M= Cucurbitaceae, N= Capparaceae, O= Brassicaceae, P= Malvaceae, Q= Cannabaceae, R= Urticaceae, S= Euphorbiaceae, T= Fabaceae, U= Oxalidaceae, V= Zygophyllaceae, W= Asteraceae, X= Rubiaceae, Y= Solanaceae, Z= Convolvulaceae, ZA= Boraginaceae, ZB= Scorphulaceae, ZC= Acanthaceae, ZD= Lamiaceae.




Figure-3: Loading plot of Principal Component Analysis for seedling of 74 weed species ordinated on the basis of qualitative and quantitative traits. Both the traits on the PC 1 and PC 2 axis. White (open) and black (closed) square symbols denote quantitative and qualitative traits respectively. Parameters are denoted by abbreviations as follows: FPY=First two leaves phyllotaxy, STP= stipule, SPY= Subsequent leaves phyllotaxy, RL= Root length, HL=Hypocotyl length, HSF= Hypocotyl surface, HSP= Hypocotyl shape, HC= Hypocotyl colour, PT= Paracotyledon texture, PL= Petiole length, PS= Petiole surface, PB= Paracotyledon base, PA= Paracotyledon apex, PSZ=Paracotyledon size, PM= Paracotyledon margin, PPV= Paracotyledon primary vein, PV= Paracotyledon venation, FN= First two leaves nature, FPL= First two leaves petiole, FSZ= First two leaves size, FB= First two leaves base, FA= First two leaves apex, FM= First two leaves margin, FS= First two leaves surface, FPV= First two leaves primary vein, FV= First two leaves venation, IL= Internode length, ISP= Internode shape, IS= Internode surface, IC= Internode colour.




Figure-4: Figs. A. Peperomia pellucida; B. Ranunculus sceleratus; C. Fumaria indica; D. Boerhavia diffusa; E. Trianthema portulacastrum, E. Portulacca oleracea; G. Glinus oppositifolius, H. Stellarla media, I. Amaranthus viridis; J. Amaranthus tenuifolius; K. Alternanthera sessilis; L. Achyranthes aspera; M. Chenopodium album; N. Dysphania ambrosioides; O. Rumex dentatus; P. Persicaria hydropiper, Q. Polygonum plebeium; R. Anagallis arvensis, S. Mukia scabrella.




Figure-5: Figs. A. Trichosanthes cucumerina; B. Coccinia grandis; C. Cleome rutidosperma; D. Cleome viscosa; E. Cardamine flexuosa; F. Rorippa palustris; G. Malvastrum coromandelianum; H. Malachra capitata; I. Urena lobata; J. Sida cordata; K. S. rhombifolia; L. Corchorus aestuans; M. Cannabis sativa; N. Pilea microphylla; O. Pouzolzia zeylanica: P. Euphorbia hirta; Q. E. serpens; R. Phyllanthus urinaria; S. P. fraternus



Figure-6: Figs. A. Croton bonplandianus; B. Micrococca mercurialis; C. Chrozophora plicata; D. Acalypha indica; E. Senna tora; F. S. sophera; G. S. occidentalis; H. Desmodium triflorum; I. D. scorpiurus; J. Oxalis corniculata; K. Kallstroemia pubescens; L. Parthenium hysterophorus; M. Eclipta prostrata; N. Xanthium strumarium; O. Ageratum conyzoides; P. Tridax procumbens; Q. Synedrella nodiflora; R. Blumea lacera.




Figure-7: Figs. A. Spermacoce hispida; B. Oldenlandia corymbosa; C. Solanum americanum; D. Nicotiana plumbaginifolia; E. Physalis mínima; F. Solanum sisymbriifolium; G. Evolvulus nummularius; H. Ipomoea erlocarpa; L. Heliotropium indicum; J. Scoparia dulcis; K. Mazus pumilus; L. Mecardonia procumbens; M. Lindenbergia indica; N. Lindernia viscosa; O. Ruellia tuberosa; P. R. prostrata; Q. Leucas aspera; R. Hyptis suaveolens

Conclusion

In taxonomic studies seedling characters have been neglected earlier possibly due to lack of abundant literatures and voucher specimens. But an array of seedling features contributes much to knowledge of seedling taxonomy which enables to identify the plants at juvenile stage i.e. much before flowering and fruiting 44. Thus, the artificial key is helpful for early identification of weed s at seedling stage that may minimize the cost of weed manifestation in crop fields. Besides, in this limited scope of studies, taxonomic correlation of taxa may be done partially. PCA analysis depicts the interdependence of some quantitative and qualitative traits of seedlings to distinguish the taxa at different taxonomic levels. This may fulfil our knowledge on the life cycle of angiosperms taxa where we may enlist some peculiar juvenile features. Heteroblastic development is the example of peculiar juvenile behaviour that gradually differentiates seedlings up to adult stage. These distinctiveness are useful for identification at juvenile stage and manifest an initial step for weed management.

Acknowledgement

The authors are thankful to Principal, Bejoy Narayan Mahavidyalaya, Hooghly, WB, India, for providing opportunities for this research work.

References

- 1. Rana S.S., Kumar Anil, Rana M.C., Sharma Neetu & Singh Permendra (2020). Advances in Weed Management, Jaya Publishing House, India. pp1-21, ISBN 9789389996746
- **2.** Oerke, E. C. (2006). Centenary review crop losses to pests. *Journal of Agricultural Science*, 144, 31-43.
- **3.** Parkinson Hilary, Mangold Jane & Menalled Fabian (2013). Weed seedling identification guide for Montana and the Northern Great Plains. Montana State University Extension.
- **4.** Chomas, A. J., Kells, J. J. & Carey, J. B. (2001). Common weed seedlings of the North Central States. North Central Regional Extension. Publication No. 607.
- Das, A. and Kamilya, P. (2020). Describing morphological characters of seedlings of some dicotyledonous weeds their identification and management. *Indian Journal of Weed Science*. 52(4), 366-377.
- **6.** Singh, A. K. (2015). Identification of some Dicot Weeds at Seedling Stage. *International Journal of Advanced Research*, 3(5), 332-340.
- 7. Chakravarty, A.K. (1957). Weed flora of the paddy fields of West Bengal. *Indian Agric.*, 1, 19-26.
- **8.** Hore, D.K. and Triphathi, R.S. (1985). Ecological Survey of Weeds, West Bengal. All India Co-ordinated Research Project on Weed Control, I.I.T., Kharagpur.

- **9.** Maity, G.G. and Guha Bakshi, D.N. (1981). Invasion of Exotic Weeds in West Bengal since 1903 dicotyledons and monocotyledons. *J. Econ. Tax. Bot.*, 2, 1-21.
- **10.** Majumder, R. B. (1962). Weed flora of the district of 24-Parganas, W. Bengal. *Indian Agric*, 6, 8-13.
- **11.** Kamilya, P.K. (2008). Survey of Weed flora of Atrai River bed in Dakshin Dinajpur District of West Bengal. *Pleione*, 2(1), 77-86.
- **12.** Bhattacharya, B. (1996). Seedling morphology of some dicotyledonous weeds of West Bengal with reference to taxonomy. University of Calcutta, 35, Ballyguange Circular Rd, Kolkata.
- **13.** Kamilya. P., Das. A., Das. A. and Sarkar, A. (2015). Comparative analysis of major phenotypical traits of some Dicotyledonous weed seedlings of crop fields of Balurghat Block, Dakshin Dinajpur, West Bengal. *Pleione*, 9(1), 107-118.
- **14.** De Vogel, E. D. (1980). Seedlings of dicotyledons. Structure, development, types, descriptions of 150 woody Malesian taxa. Centre for Agricultural Publishing and Documentation, Wageningen, pp-1-475. ISN:108162
- **15.** Hzn. Burger D. (1972). Seedlings of some tropical trees and shrubs mainly of South East Asia. Centre for Agricultural Publishing and Documentation, Wageningen. pp 23-370. ISBN: 90 220 04163
- **16.** Bokdam, J. (1977). Seedling Morphology of Some African Sapotaceae and Its Taxonomic Significance, Mendellingen Landouwhoge school, Wegeningen, Netherland, pp 5-80.
- **17.** Hickey, L.J. (1973). Classification of architecture of dicotyledonous leaves. *American Journal of Botany*, 60(1), 18-33.
- **18.** Canne, M. J. (1983). The taxonomic significance of seedling morphology in *Agalinis* (Scrophulariaceae). *Canadian Journal of Botany*, 61(7), 1868-1874.
- **19.** Singh, A.K., Sahu, R.K. and Singh, S. (2014). Morphotaxonomic studies on *Andrographis paniculata* (Burm. f.) Wall. ex Nees seedling A medicinal plant. *Indian Forester*, 140(11), 1056-1060.
- **20.** Duke, J.A. (1969). On Tropical tree seedlings seeds, seedlings, systems and systematics. *Ann. Missouri Bot. Gard.* 56(2), 125-61.
- **21.** Troup, R. S. (1921). The silviculture of Indian trees. Clarendon Press Oxford UK, (3 Vols), pp 785-1172.
- **22.** Paria, N. D. (2014). Botanical research in India in the domain of seedling Morphology in relation to taxonomy. *Science and Culture*, 80(9-10), 262 270.
- **23.** Sampathkumar, R. (1982). Studies on the cotyledonary leaves of some Convolvulaceae. *Taxon*, 31(1), 53-56.

- **24.** Augustine, K. T. (2004). Seedling Morphology of *Cassytha filiformis* (Lauraceae) from Thumba, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala. *Journal of Economic and Taxonomic Botany*, 28(1), 254256.
- **25.** Das, D. C. and Paria, N. D. (2008). Seedling morphology identification of some Indian species of *Bauhinia* L. (Caesalpiniaceae). *Feddes Reportium*, 110(5-6), 375-379.
- 26. Singh, A. K., Singh, S. and Devi, Y. (2008). Morpho Taxonomic observations on seedlings of two common weeds Croton bonplandianus Baill. And Xanthium indicum Koeing from Varanasi (U. P.). Journal of Economic and Taxonomic Botany, 32(2), 311-315
- **27.** Singh, A. K., Singh, S., Srivastava, M. and Srivastava, S. (2008). Seed and seedling morphology of a medicinal plant *Catharanthus roseus. Indian Forester*, 34(4), 537-541.
- **28.** Malik, V. and Anand, S. (2014). Observations on the Seedling Morphology of *Ajuga bracteosa* Wall. ex Benth. (Lamiaceae). *Annals of Plant Sciences*, 3(6), 745-747.
- **29.** Rani, R. and Datta, B. K. (2020). Seedling morphology of some medicinal plants from Leguminosae of Tripura, North-East India. *Ambient Science*, 8(1), 31-36.
- **30.** Prain David (2004,2010). Bengal Plants, Bishen Singh Mahendra Pal Singh publication, India. Vols. I and II, pp1-663, 664-1278, ISBN 81-211-0429-7 (set).
- **31.** Kanjilal, U.N., Kanjilal, P.C., Das, A., Purkayastha, C. & Bor, N.L. (1934-1940). Flora of Assam (5 volumes), Govt. of Assam Press, Shillong.
- 32. Mitra Sunit and Mukherjee, Sobhan Kumar (2013). Flora and ethnobotany of West Dinajpur District, West Bengal, Bishen Singh Mahendra Pal Singh punlication, India. pp 1-800. ISBN: 8121107385
- **33.** Hajra, P. K. (1997). Flora of West Bengal. (Vol-I), The Director Botanical Survey of India Publication. India, pp 1-485
- **34.** Paul, T.K., Lakshminarasimhan, P., Chowdhery, H.J., Dash, S.S., & Singh, P. (2015). Flora of West Bengal. (Vol-II), The Director Botanical Survey of India Publication, India, pp 1-411. ISBN: 81-8177-063-3

- **35.** Ranjan, V., Lakshminarasimhan, P., Dash, S.S. & Chowdhery, H.J. (2016). Flora of West Bengal. (Vol-III.), The Director Botanical Survey of India Publication, India, pp 1-470. ISBN:818177075-7
- **36.** Lakshminarasimhan, P., Dash, S.S., Singh, P. & Chowdhery, H.J. (2019). Flora of West Bengal. (Vol-IV), The Director Botanical Survey of India publication, India, pp 9-694. ISBN: 8181770554-4
- **37.** Takhtajan Amren (2003). Diversity and classification of flowering plants. Bishen Singh Mahendra Pal Singh publication, India, pp10-588. ISBN:81-211-0211-1
- **38.** Muller, F. M. (1978). Seedlings of the North-Western European lowland: A flora of seedlings. Centre for Agricultural Publishing and Documentation, Wageningen, pp. 1-654
- **39.** Kamilya, P., Das, S., Das, A. and Sarkar, A. (2018). Analysis of qualitative and quantitative traits in seedlings of some crop field weeds (dicot) of Kaliachak-II Block of Malda district of West Bengal, India. *Pleione*, 12(2), 242-248.
- **40.** Fatinah, A.A, Arumingtyas, E.L. and Masturi, R. (2012). Genetic diversity study among six genera of amaranth family found in malang based on RAPD marker. *The Journal of Tropical Life Science*, 2(3), 81-86.
- **41.** Pinar, N.M. and Inceoglu, O. (1999). Pollen morphology of Turkish *Chenopodium* L. (Chenopodiaceae). *Tropical Journal of Botany*, 23, 179-186.
- **42.** Rahman, A.H.M.M. (2013). Systematics studies on Asteraceae in the northern region of Bangladesh. *American Journal of Life Science*, 1(4), 155-164
- **43.** Zotz, G., Wilhem, K. and Becker, A. (2011). Heteroblasty A review. *The Botanical Review*. 77(2), 109-181.
- **44.** Sanyal, S. and Paria, N. D. (2015). Seedling morphology as a tool for taxonomic study in some members of Leguminosae (Fabaceae). *International Journal of Plant, Animal and Env. Sci.*, 5(1), 1-15.